

## تعليم اللغة العربية لغير الناطقين بها: الاستراتيجيات البيداغوجية، والتحديات، والآفاق

### Teaching Arabic to Non-Native Speakers: Pedagogical Strategies, Challenges, and Prospects

د. فاطمة الزهراء العسالي<sup>1</sup>، فاطمة الزهراء<sup>2</sup>

Fatimaezzahraa–Elassali<sup>1</sup>, & Fatima El Zahraa<sup>2</sup>

قسم اللغة العربية، المدرسة العليا للأساتذة، جامعة محمد الخامس، الرباط، المغرب<sup>1</sup>

قسم تعليم اللغة العربية، جامعة شريف هداية الله الإسلامية الحكومية جاكرتا، إندونيسيا<sup>2</sup>

Department of Arabic Language, Higher Normal School (École Normale Supérieure), Moham-med V  
University, Rabat, Morocco — Lecturer<sup>1</sup>

Department of Arabic Education, UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, Indonesia<sup>2</sup>

قبول البحث: 11/05/2026

مراجعة البحث: 15/04/2026

استلام البحث: 10/03/2026

#### ملخص الدراسة:

تناولت هذه الدراسة الاعتبارات البيداغوجية الرئيسة في تعليم اللغة العربية لغير الناطقين بها، مع التركيز على التحديات المرتبطة بالتعقيد اللغوي والثقافي للغة العربية، مثل نظام الكتابة، والخصائص الصوتية، والازدواجية اللغوية، والتعابير ذات البعد الثقافي. واعتمدت الدراسة منهجاً نوعياً تأويلياً بالاستناد إلى الأدبيات التربوية الحديثة وآراء المدرسين، مع الإشارة إلى سياقات تعليم العربية في إندونيسيا والمغرب. وأبرزت الدراسة أهمية توظيف التكنولوجيا التعليمية، والتعليم المتمركز حول المتعلم، والتعليم المتميز، إلى جانب دمج المواد الثقافية الأصلية في العملية التعليمية. كما ناقشت دور الوسائط المتعددة والموارد الرقمية في تنمية مهارات الاستماع والتحدث، وأهمية الأنشطة الموجهة لدعم مهاري القراءة والكتابة ضمن تصميم تعليمي متوازن.

وتؤكد النتائج أهمية التكامل بين التصميم التعليمي، ومراعاة الفروق الفردية، والاستجابة الثقافية في تعزيز تعلم اللغة العربية لدى غير الناطقين بها. غير

أن الدراسة تظل ذات طابع تفسيري، ولا تقدم تقييماً تجريبياً لنتائج التعلم، مما يفتح المجال لمزيد من الدراسات التطبيقية في سياقات تعليمية متنوعة.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** تعليم اللغة العربية، التحديات التعليمية، التكنولوجيا التعليمية، الفروق الفردية، اكتساب اللغة الثانية، استراتيجيات التدريس.

---

## Abstract

This study investigated key pedagogical considerations in teaching Arabic to non-Arab learners, with particular attention to the language's linguistic and cultural complexity. Features such as the Arabic writing system, phonological distinctions, diglossia, and culturally embedded expressions pose recurring challenges that call for instructional approaches sensitive to both structural and contextual dimensions of learning. Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative, interpretive approach, drawing on contemporary pedagogical literature and educators' insights, with reference to Arabic language teaching contexts in Indonesia and Morocco in general. Despite the growing body of research on Arabic as a foreign language, a gap persists in studies examining how linguistic complexity and cultural embeddedness intersect with pedagogical practice across diverse instructional contexts, particularly outside the Arab world. Addressing this gap, the analysis foregrounds the pedagogically informed use of technology, learner-centered and differentiated instruction, and the incorporation of culturally grounded materials. Technology-mediated re-sources, including multimedia content, online tasks, and video-based materials, are discussed in relation to their role in providing exposure to authentic language use, particularly for listening and speaking development. In parallel, structured literacy-focused activities are described as supporting the integration of reading and writing skills within a balanced instructional design. These findings highlight the importance of coherence among instructional design, learner differentiation, and cultural responsiveness in supporting meaningful Arabic language learning experiences for non-Arab learners. Rather than evaluating instructional effectiveness through measured learning outcomes, this study is limited in that it offers an interpretive account of pedagogical intersection within practice and therefore provides no empirical assessment of learner achievement. Additionally, since the discussion is primarily situated within the context of Indonesia and Morocco, further research would be needed to determine the extent to which pedagogical considerations apply across other Arab teaching environments.

**Keywords:** Arabic Language Teaching, Educational Challenges, Educational Technologies, Individual Differences, Non-Arab Learners, Second Language Acquisition, Teaching Strategies.

---

## 1. Introduction

Teaching Arabic to non-Arab learners presents challenges, such as limited exposure and linguistic complexity, but also offers opportunities through digital resources and flexible, context-sensitive pedagogy. The language's distinctive linguistic characteristics—including its complex script, rich morphological system, and unique phonological features—create substantial hurdles that demand targeted, evidence-based instructional strategies. Beyond structural complexity, Arabic's diglossia, encompassing both Modern Standard Arabic and regional dialects [1], adds further layers of difficulty for learners. Effective instruction, therefore, must integrate linguistic, cultural, and cognitive considerations [2] to foster meaningful and sustainable language acquisition. Such an integrated approach ensures learners can navigate the multifaceted demands of Arabic while developing both linguistic competence and practical communication skills [3]. In addition, over the past decade, discussions of Arabic instruction in non-Arab settings have highlighted both digital resources and enduring linguistic and learner-related challenges.

Studies conducted in Indonesian Arabic classrooms have reported recurring instructional challenges, limited vocabulary mastery, low learner engagement, insufficient writing practice, and underutilized digital media, despite the availability of instructional resources, including undergraduate thesis work supervised by the second author. However, discussion of these issues remains confined to isolated instructional settings, limiting broader articulation of pedagogical considerations beyond specific classrooms or institutions. This gap calls for extending pedagogical analysis beyond isolated classroom accounts. Furthermore, Recent pedagogical research highlights the transformative potential of technology-enhanced instruction in foreign language education [7]. Digital tools, including multimedia resources, interactive platforms, and online exercises, have been shown to enhance learner engagement [8], [9], provide authentic and contextually meaningful language exposure [10], and facilitate self-directed practice [11]. In Arabic language learning, such technologies support the development of listening and speaking skills, and allow repeated exposure to complex phonemes [12], and provide timely corrective feedback [13], all of which contribute to accelerated learning, increased retention [14], and long-term skill development.

Alongside technology-enhanced instruction, pedagogical frameworks such as Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) and Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT) have gained increasing attention for their emphasis on meaningful interaction and culturally situated learning in Arabic language education. Cultural nuances embedded in the Arabic language play a central role in shaping learners' comprehension and communicative competence. Expressions, idioms, and context-specific references often carry meanings that are not immediately accessible to learners from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds, with contextual cues facilitating the development of L2 idiomatic competence [4], especially in interpretation. Without careful pedagogical guidance, these elements may lead to misinterpretation or impede the development of pragmatic language skills. Consequently, teaching strategies must be culturally responsive, or what is referred to as Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT), which develops over time with teaching experience [5], enabling learners to connect language forms with their social, historical, and cultural functions, thereby enriching both comprehension and communicative efficacy, as culturally responsive tools that incorporate localized and bilingual elements can enhance engagement and align with national educational goals [6].

Taken together, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) approaches have likewise become prominent in Arabic pedagogy [15]. By emphasizing practical language use in real-life contexts [16], CLT encourages learners to develop functional proficiency, oral fluency [17], and confidence [18] in authentic communicative situations. Empirical evidence suggests that combining communicative approaches with technology-enhanced resources produces a synergistic effect, allowing learners to develop a stronger willingness to communicate [19], adapt to diverse interactional demands [20], and engage in interactive, meaningful, and contextually rich language practice that mirrors authentic communication environments.

Individualized instruction or a differentiation approach [21] represents another critical dimension of effective teaching. Adapting instruction to learners' prior knowledge, cognitive preferences, interests, and learning pace—timing and speed control [22]—enhances motivation, reduces anxiety, and leads to better learning outcomes. Personalized learning, shaped by various educational stakeholders [23], empowers students to take ownership of their language development, facilitates differentiated feedback, and accommodates the diverse needs of heterogeneous classrooms. This is particularly relevant in Arabic instruction, where learners' prior linguistic backgrounds—ranging from Semitic to non-Semitic languages—significantly influence acquisition patterns.

Despite the promise of modern pedagogical methods—with virtual classrooms, online resources, and educational applications becoming integral to learning [24]—both teachers and learners continue to face persistent challenges. Designing materials that balance linguistic accuracy, and cultural authenticity presents challenges for educators—as experience alone does not make one a professional materials designer [25]—and learners frequently experience difficulties with script recognition, pronunciation, and culturally embedded references. These issues highlight the need for coherent, evidence-informed instructional frameworks that integrate technology, learner-centered pedagogy, and cultural responsiveness, as research shows the interconnection between cultural competence and technology in educational setups [26]. Such frameworks are positioned as a viable pathway toward more effective and sustainable language acquisition.

This study, therefore, seeks to explore and critically evaluate effective strategies for teaching Arabic to non-native speakers, acknowledging that digital approaches can overcome barriers from the lack of a linguistic environment [27]. It aims to identify best practices, examine the impact of interactive, technology-supported approaches, and assess the role of individualized, culturally responsive pedagogy, which addresses disparities in access [28]. By considering multiple dimensions of teaching and learning, this research provides a comprehensive understanding of the factors that influence the successful acquisition of Arabic. It offers actionable insights for educators and curriculum designers.

Ultimately, the findings of this research aspire to inform curriculum development, pedagogical planning, and policy-making in Arabic language education. By analyzing contemporary teaching approaches—characterized by knowledge constructed together with students [29]—and their effectiveness across diverse learning contexts, the study advances Arabic language pedagogy. It emphasizes practical strategies that enhance learner engagement, facilitate skill development, and promote sustainable, meaningful, and high-quality language learning outcomes [30]—that are lasting and applicable—for non-native speakers.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This study explores effective teaching strategies for Arabic language instruction among non-native speakers, addressing both pedagogical and cultural challenges. By integrating multiple

perspectives from teachers and learners, the research provides a comprehensive understanding of instructional effectiveness, highlighting approaches that promote engagement, skill acquisition, and long-term language retention—partly enhanced through multimedia [31]. This design allows for a nuanced examination of the multifaceted nature of Arabic language learning, including structural, phonological, and cultural complexities.

The study begins with a systematic review of contemporary literature on Arabic language pedagogy. Peer-reviewed journals, educational databases, and conference proceedings were examined to identify innovative and evidence-based instructional approaches. Special attention was given to interactive learning, technology-supported methods, communicative techniques, and culturally responsive teaching. This review established the theoretical foundation for the study and informed the identification of best practices in Arabic language instruction.

In-depth qualitative insights were derived from interviews with experienced Arabic-language educators from diverse contexts, including public schools, private institutions, and language centers. These teachers provided detailed perspectives on classroom challenges—since firsthand experience offers essential context [32], strategies to support diverse learners, and guidance on integrating technology and culturally relevant materials. Interviews were designed to explore instructional practices, learner engagement, and approaches to foster proficiency in listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

Data from teacher interviews were analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and key instructional strategies. Coding focused on pedagogical effectiveness, learner engagement, and the integration of innovative and individualized approaches. This analysis ensured that the findings captured practical insights aligned with contemporary research in second language acquisition.

The study also considered learner experiences and perceptions to complement teacher perspectives. Insights were gathered on learners' engagement with different teaching strategies, preferences for interactive and technology-supported activities, and perceptions of how these methods facilitate skill development. Analyzing learner perspectives alongside teacher insights provided a holistic view of instructional effectiveness across diverse learning contexts.

Ethical considerations were maintained throughout the study. Participants provided informed consent, and all data were treated confidentially. Measures were taken to ensure anonymity, and the study adhered to institutional guidelines for research involving human subjects.

Overall, this approach enabled a thorough evaluation of effective teaching strategies for Arabic instruction. By integrating insights from the literature, educator expertise, and learner experiences, the study provides evidence-based recommendations for pedagogy. It highlights strategies that enhance engagement, foster skill acquisition, and support culturally responsive,

technology-enhanced, and individualized approaches. These findings offer practical implications for curriculum development, instructional planning, and the advancement of Arabic language education for non-native speakers.

### 3. Results

The literature review highlighted the critical role of interactive and technology-supported teaching strategies in fostering learner engagement and enhancing language acquisition among non-native Arabic learners. Prior research has consistently shown that integrating digital tools into language instruction not only captures learners' attention but also encourages active participation—although such democratic gains are not always met [33], which is essential for mastering complex linguistic structures. In this regard, technology-mediated environments shift learners from passive recipients of knowledge to active participants in meaning-making processes, enabling them to engage more deeply with linguistic input. However, the effectiveness of such integration depends largely on pedagogical design and the extent to which digital tools are meaningfully aligned with instructional objectives rather than being used as superficial add-ons.

Furthermore, multimedia applications play a pivotal role in supporting the acquisition of Arabic, a language characterized by complex phonological, morphological, and orthographic systems. Audio-visual materials, including interactive videos, podcasts, and animated explanations, provide learners with repeated exposure to authentic linguistic input in contextually rich environments. This multimodal exposure enhances listening comprehension, improves pronunciation accuracy, and strengthens learners' ability to recognize patterns in spoken and written discourse. In addition, multimedia tools stimulate multiple cognitive channels simultaneously, thereby increasing retention and facilitating deeper processing of linguistic structures, which is particularly important in mastering features unique to Arabic.

In parallel, online exercises and digital learning platforms contribute significantly to the development of learner autonomy and self-regulated learning. Interactive quizzes, adaptive tasks, and real-time feedback mechanisms allow learners to monitor their progress, identify areas of difficulty, and engage in repeated practice at their own pace. This flexibility not only accommodates individual differences in learning speed and style but also reduces anxiety, especially among beginners *مواجهة* unfamiliar linguistic features. Moreover, the availability of immediate corrective feedback enhances accuracy and prevents the fossilization of errors, making digital environments particularly effective for reinforcing grammatical and lexical knowledge.

Another key finding relates to the importance of exposure to authentic language through digital media. Video-based content, real-life dialogues, and culturally embedded materials provide learners with access to natural language use that reflects real communicative contexts. Such exposure is essential for developing pragmatic competence, as learners become familiar with

idiomatic expressions, discourse conventions, and sociolinguistic nuances that are often absent from traditional textbooks. By bridging the gap between classroom instruction and real-world language use, these resources enhance learners' ability to transfer their knowledge to authentic communicative situations, thereby improving both fluency and confidence.

Finally, the findings emphasize that the success of technology-supported teaching strategies is contingent upon their integration within a coherent pedagogical framework that prioritizes interaction, learner engagement, and contextual relevance. Technology alone does not guarantee effective learning; rather, its value lies in how it is pedagogically orchestrated to support meaningful language use and skill development. A balanced instructional design that combines interactive tasks, multimedia input, and structured practice can create a dynamic learning environment conducive to sustained engagement and long-term language acquisition. Consequently, educators must adopt a reflective and strategic approach to technology integration, ensuring that digital tools serve as facilitators of learning rather than ends in themselves.

### **3.1 Development of Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing Skills**

In this study, multimedia tools were found to significantly enhance learners' listening and speaking abilities by providing spoken input and oral practice [34], [35]. Educational applications and video resources provided repeated exposure to target-language sounds, phrases, and intonation patterns, thereby supporting auditory discrimination and oral production. By engaging learners in interactive tasks that require active responses—including reacting to multimedia input, completing focused practice, and producing recorded speech [36]—these tools provide real-time practice and immediate feedback essential for building fluency and oral confidence.

Structured reading and writing activities complemented by multimedia input reinforced literacy skills via activities, such as online reading tasks and Moodle-based essay writing [37]. Learners who participated in guided reading tasks and digital writing workshops showed improved vocabulary acquisition, grammatical accuracy, and text organization. The structured nature of these activities allowed learners to connect oral language experiences with written output, promoting integrated language development and a deeper understanding of Arabic syntax and morphology. However, based on recent research, morphological knowledge precedes syntactic processing, which in turn aids reading comprehension—rather than the reverse [38].

Teacher observations emphasized the importance of individualized instruction in supporting effective learning. Adapting lesson plans to learners' prior knowledge, pace, and interests enabled educators to create personalized learning trajectories, ensuring that students could progress at their own pace. Such differentiated approaches [21] also helped address learner anxiety and motivation, leading to improved outcomes and greater engagement, particularly among students initially facing challenges with Arabic.

Cultural responsiveness emerged as another critical factor in promoting learner engagement, which is determined by two significant factors: what learners bring to the process and the conditions of the environment that support their study [39]. Teachers reported that embedding culturally authentic materials, narratives, and idiomatic expressions within lessons helped learners relate the language to meaningful contexts. This approach not only improved comprehension but also fostered intercultural awareness, enabling learners to appreciate the sociocultural nuances [40] embedded in the language. Incorporating culturally relevant content strengthened learners' motivation and offered practical contexts for applying language skills.

### 3.2 Challenges and Integrated Approaches

Despite these advantages, learners encountered persistent challenges, particularly with the Arabic script, pronunciation, and understanding of culturally embedded references. The complexity of Arabic orthography—which is visually complex and vowel-dependent, making reading rely heavily on context, morphology, and syntactic markers [41]—and the presence of sounds absent in learners' native languages—particularly those not found in Indonesian, such as *ḍād* (ض), *ṣād* (ص), *ṭā'* (ط), *zā'* (ظ), *ḥā'* (ح), *khā'* (خ), *'ayn* (ع), *ghain* (غ), *thā'* (ث), and *dhāl* (ذ) [42]—contributed to initial difficulties. Additionally, idiomatic expressions and cultural content often require additional scaffolding to ensure comprehension, as translating them into the target language is not straightforward [43]. Addressing these challenges demands targeted pedagogical strategies that integrate technological support with explicit instruction and culturally informed guidance.

Overall, this study contends that a theoretically integrated model—bringing together digital tools, personalized pedagogical strategies, and culturally attuned learning materials—offers the most robust framework for Arabic language development. For instance, mobile learning provides on-the-go microlearning unavailable in fixed classroom schedules [44] AI-enabled personalized learning provides real-time adaptive feedback tailored to each learner [45], and culturally-aware pedagogy enhances inclusivity by valuing students' diverse assets [46]. These findings provide evidence-based insights for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers aiming to implement effective strategies in Arabic language instruction for diverse learner populations.

## 4. Discussion

Technology-assisted teaching strategies have become a cornerstone in contemporary efforts to enhance Arabic language instruction for non-native speakers. These strategies provide multimodal inputs and interactive contexts that traditional methods alone cannot easily replicate. The literature consistently demonstrates that multimedia tools, online exercises, and video-based content create richer auditory and visual environments that facilitate the development of listening and speaking skills, while structured reading and writing activities supported by digital platforms strengthen literacy acquisition [47], [48]. When deliberately integrated into course design, these resources simulate authentic communicative situations and expose learners to diverse registers and accents, which is particularly valuable for a language as regionally varied as Arabic.

#### **4.1 Engagement, Individualization, and Cultural Responsiveness**

At the classroom level, combining interactive content with scaffolded tasks encourages higher learner engagement and sustained attention, both of which are known to mediate successful language learning. Teachers who incorporate short, focused video clips, interactive listening exercises, and scaffolded writing prompts report higher participation rates and faster progression through basic competence thresholds. Such engagement is not merely behavioral; it correlates with deeper processing of input and increased opportunities for noticing form–meaning relationships, processes that underpin incremental gains in both receptive and productive skills, including boosting vocabulary knowledge [49].

Individual differences among learners, including prior knowledge, learning pace, motivation, and cognitive styles—necessitate pedagogical flexibility. Evidence from classroom observations indicates that tailoring lesson sequences to learners’ backgrounds and readiness levels improves comprehension and reduces affective barriers to performance. This aligns with recommendations for differentiated instruction in second-language contexts, emphasizing formative assessment, flexible grouping, and adaptive materials to address heterogeneous needs, including inclusive learning in the Indonesian context [50].

Culturally responsive materials act as a bridge between linguistic form and sociocultural meaning, enabling learners to anchor new vocabulary and structures in real-world contexts relevant to their identities or interests. Curricula that embed cultural narratives, authentic dialogues, and genre-specific texts foster pragmatic competence alongside grammatical accuracy, promoting fluency and reducing misinterpretation in intercultural communication [51]. Therefore, cultural content should be viewed as a core component supporting meaningful language use rather than an optional add-on.

Learners also benefit from autonomy and immediate feedback afforded by interactive, technology-supported lessons. Adaptive exercises and rapid corrective input foster self-directed learning habits, and digital platforms that provide scaffolded feedback and spaced retrieval practice contribute to durable learning gains, especially when combined with teacher-mediated reflection and error correction [52].

#### **4.2 Challenges, Scaffolding, and Systemic Integration**

Despite these advantages, significant challenges persist, including difficulties with Arabic script, phonology, and the mapping between orthography and pronunciation. Cultural unfamiliarity with certain discursive conventions and pragmatic routines adds further complexity, which generic digital content may not fully address [53]. Consequently, technology integration must be accompanied by explicit instructional sequences targeting orthographic conventions and phonetic correspondences.

Phonetic scaffolding—through explicit pronunciation instruction, visual articulatory aids, and guided repetition—has been shown to reduce pronunciation difficulties. When embedded in technology-enhanced modules such as waveform visualizers, pronunciation analyzers, or controlled-repetition activities, learners benefit from multimodal cues that reinforce correct articulatory patterns and auditory discrimination. This blended approach reduces fossilization and accelerates the accuracy of oral production.

Teacher professional development is also critical for translating technological potential into effective classroom practice. Educators need training [54] not only in operating digital tools but also in designing tasks, sequencing multimodal input, and interpreting analytics that inform instruction. Professional learning communities and sustained in-service programs support iterative refinement of pedagogy, helping teachers shift from tool-centered to pedagogy-centered implementations.

Project-based and collaborative learning approaches complement individualized instruction by offering authentic contexts for language use. Learners working on multimedia presentations, interviews, or short documentaries in Arabic negotiate meaning, co-construct knowledge, and practice strategic language use. These activities foster higher-order skills [55], including information synthesis and critical reflection, while creating opportunities for sustained output.

Assessment practices must evolve alongside instructional innovation. Technology enables formative assessment [56] that captures both process and product, allowing teachers to monitor fluency, accuracy, and complexity and to adjust content and pacing responsively. Emphasis should be placed on analytic rubrics and performance-based tasks reflecting authentic communicative demands.

Theoretical foundations from second language acquisition research underscore the value of differentiated instruction and input enhancement. Tailored exposure, comprehensible input, and pushed output facilitate the restructuring of interlanguage systems, consistent with evidence supporting adaptive, learner-centered approaches [57]. Longitudinal evaluation is essential for determining whether short-term gains from technology-enhanced instruction translate into sustained proficiency, generalization across contexts, and long-term communicative competence [53].

Multimodal pedagogy—integrating auditory, visual, kinesthetic, and interactive modes—supports diverse memory systems and promotes deeper encoding of linguistic forms. Videos, annotated texts, gesture-supported tasks, and interactive simulations provide multiple retrieval paths, improving retention and transfer. Equity considerations must guide technology deployment to avoid exacerbating achievement gaps and to ensure the availability of offline alternatives, low-bandwidth options, and teacher coaching in under-resourced contexts.

Finally, aligning curricula, assessment, teacher development, and technology creates conditions for systemic improvement in Arabic language education for non-native speakers. Coordinated approaches [58] facilitate sustained innovation, culturally responsive pedagogy, and enhanced linguistic and cultural competence. In summary, integrating evidence-based strategies, individualized learning pathways, culturally relevant content, and strategically deployed technologies enhances Arabic learning outcomes. At the same time, coordinated efforts address persistent challenges in script, pronunciation, and cultural understanding.

**Table 1.** Key Strategies for Teaching Arabic to Non-Native Speakers

Impact	Focus	Strategy
Increased engagement and motivation	Group work, role-play	Interactive Learning
Improved listening and speaking skills	Multimedia, videos, online platforms	Technology-Enhanced Instruction
Developed fluency and confidence	Real-life communication	Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)
Enhanced comprehension and pragmatics	Contextualized texts and activities	Culturally Responsive Materials
Reduced frustration, better learning outcomes	Tailored pacing and content	Individualized Instruction

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, Arabic instruction for non-Arab learners tends to be organized around at least three interrelated components: the pedagogically grounded use of technology as instructional support, differentiated and learner-centered instructional practices, and the integration of culturally contextualized content. These components function as complementary elements within the instructional design rather than as independent determinants of learning outcomes, underscoring the importance of pedagogical alignment in supporting meaningful language learning experiences. When these dimensions are intentionally aligned, they create a cohesive learning environment in which input, practice, and feedback are mutually reinforcing, enabling learners to engage with the language in ways that are both cognitively demanding and contextually relevant.

The pedagogically informed use of technology extends beyond the mere incorporation of digital tools; it entails a deliberate orchestration of multimedia resources, interactive platforms, and adaptive learning systems to support specific linguistic objectives. In the context of Arabic language teaching, technology can facilitate exposure to authentic phonological input, provide repeated practice opportunities, and enable access to diverse registers and dialectal variations.

However, its effectiveness depends on how well it is integrated into instructional sequences that scaffold learning and guide learners toward progressively higher levels of proficiency. Thus, technology serves as an enabler of enriched input and interaction, rather than a substitute for sound pedagogical planning.

Equally important is the role of differentiated and learner-centered instructional practices, which acknowledge the diversity of learners' linguistic backgrounds, cognitive styles, motivations, and learning trajectories. In Arabic language classrooms, where learners may come from vastly different language families and levels of prior exposure, a one-size-fits-all approach is unlikely to yield effective results. Differentiation allows educators to tailor content, pacing, and assessment methods to individual needs, thereby enhancing motivation and reducing affective barriers such as anxiety or frustration. Learner-centered approaches further empower students to take an active role in their learning process, fostering autonomy, reflective thinking, and sustained engagement with the language.

Finally, the integration of culturally contextualized content constitutes a critical dimension of effective Arabic instruction. Language and culture are inseparable, particularly in Arabic, where meaning is often shaped by historical, social, and religious references. Embedding authentic cultural materials—such as narratives, dialogues, and real-life communicative scenarios—within the curriculum enables learners to develop not only linguistic competence but also pragmatic and intercultural awareness. This cultural grounding enhances comprehension, prevents misinterpretation, and supports the transfer of language skills to real-world contexts. Ultimately, the convergence of technology, differentiation, and cultural responsiveness forms a robust and sustainable framework for Arabic language education, capable of addressing both the structural complexity of the language and the diverse needs of its learners.

### Abbreviations

L2	Second Language (اللغة الثانية)
CAL	Computer-Assisted Language Learning (تعلم اللغة بمساعدة الحاسوب)
L	
SLA	Second Language Acquisition (اكتساب اللغة الثانية)
ICT	Information and Communication Technology (تكنولوجيا المعلومات والاتصال)
TBL	Task-Based Learning (التعلم القائم على المهام)

### References

- [1] L. Nassif and K. Al Masaeed, "Supporting the Sociolinguistic Repertoire of Emergent Diglossic Speakers: Multidialectal Practices of L2 Arabic Learners," *J. Multiling. Multicult. Dev.*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1–15, 2022, doi: 10.1080/01434632.2020.1774595.
- [2] Olateju Temitope Akintayo, Chima Abimbola Eden, Oyebola Olusola Ayeni, and Nneamaka Chisom Onyebuchi, "Cross-Cultural Instructional Design: A Framework for Multilingual and Interdisciplinary Education," *Int. J. Front. Sci. Technol. Res.*, vol. 6, no.

- 2, pp. 060–070, 2024, doi: 10.53294/ijfstr.2024.6.2.0038.
- [3] N. Abdulrahman and E. A. S. Abu-Ayyash, “Linguistic Competence, Communicative Competence and Interactional Competence,” *Int. J. Comput. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 7537–7552, 2019, doi: 10.24297/ijct.v19i0.8505.
- [4] J. Liontas, “Context and Idiom Understanding in Second Languages,” *EUROSLA Yearb.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 155–185, 2002, doi: 10.1075/eurosla.2.11lio.
- [5] M. Tanase, “Is Good Teaching Culturally Responsive?,” *J. Pedagog. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 187–202, 2020, doi: 10.33902/JPR.2020063333.
- [6] O. E. H. Elmahdi, M. AbdAlgane, F. A. . Hamid, A. A. S. Balla, and I. Z. A. Ibrahim, “Integrating Critical Thinking and Technology in Saudi EFL Classrooms: A Framework for Culturally Responsive Language Learning,” *Int. J. English Lang. Stud.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 34–52, 2025, doi: 10.32996/ijels.2025.7.2.4.
- [7] Michael David Sankey, Henk Huijser, and Rachel Fitzgerald, Eds., *Technology-Enhanced Learning and the Virtual University*. Springer Singapore, 2023. doi: 10.1007/978-981-99-4170-4.
- [8] J. Jayaraman and J. Aane, “The Impact of Digital Textbooks on Student Engagement in Higher Education: Highlighting the Significance of Interactive Learning Strategies Facilitated by Digital Media,” in *Implementing Interactive Learning Strategies in Higher Education*, New York: IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2024, ch. 11. doi: 10.4018/979-8-3693-3559-8.ch011.
- [9] C. Navas, “User-Friendly Digital Tools: Boosting Student Engagement and Creativity in Higher Education,” *Eur. Public Soc. Innov. Rev.*, vol. 10, pp. 1–17, 2024, doi: 10.31637/epsir-2025-963.
- [10] M. Rohan Patel, MD; Emma McQueen, BS; Caitlyn Gold, “Balancing Digital Media Exposure: Enhancing Language and Social Development in Early Childhood,” *Pediatr Rev*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 245–257, 2025, doi: 10.1542/pir.2024-006413.
- [11] D. Yan, “A Classroom Practitioner’s Self-Study on Theorizing a Practicality Ethic Framework for Adopting Digital Affordances in Language Education,” *Futur. Educ. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 282–301, 2025, doi: 10.1002/fer3.58.
- [12] M. E. El-Zeiny, Ibtehal Mahmoud Aburezeq, Suad Abdalkareem Alwaely, M. H. Fageeh, Nagwa Ibrahim Abdo, and A. Almahdawi, “Improving L2 Pronunciation with AI-Enhanced Narratives: Investigating Unfamiliar Arabic Contrastive Sounds,” in *International Conference on Intelligent Computing, Communication, Networking and Services (ICCNS)*, 2024, pp. 200–207. doi: 10.1109/ICCNS62192.2024.10776212.
- [13] Rustam Shadiev and Yingying Feng, “Using Automated Corrective Feedback Tools in Language Learning: A Review Study,” *Interact. Learn. Environ.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 2538–2566, 2024, doi: 10.1080/10494820.2022.2153145.
- [14] Vladimiro Xavier Jácome Paredes, Steven Mauricio Espinoza Romero, and Cesar Enrique Andraus Quintero, “AI-Powered Digital Tools for Vocabulary Retention in Foreign Language Learners: A Perception-Based Study,” *Rev. San Gregor.*, vol. 1, no. 62, 2025, doi: 10.36097/rsan.v1i623579.
- [15] A. Alsubhi, M. A. B. M. Adnan, A. bin Yusof, F. Awae, and H. Abuhassna, “Unlocking the Future of Arabic Language Teaching: Exploring Communicative Language Teaching Methodology,” *Int. J. Acad. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 123–135, 2023, doi: 10.6007/IJARBSS/v13-i10/19015.
- [16] M. Y. Salam and Y. Luksfinanto, “A Comprehensive Review of Communicative

- Language Teaching (CLT) in Modern Classrooms,” *Lingeduca J. Lang. Educ. Stud.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 58–70, 2024, doi: 10.70177/lingeduca.v3i1.1338.
- [17] M. H. M. Al-Anisi, “The Effect of Using CLT on Developing Student-Teachers’ Oral Proficiency in English at Al-Baydha University,” *Albaydha Univ. J.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 271–280, 2020, doi: 10.56807/buj.v2i2.69.
- [18] Susanti, W. S. Prasetya, and H. Kurniawan, “Correlation of CLT Approach and Learners Self Confidence in Enhancing Speaking Expertise,” *J. MELT (Medium English Lang. Teaching)*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 66–74, 2025, doi: 10.22303/melt.9.2.2024.66-74.
- [19] D. Zhao, R. R. Jablonkai, and A. Sandoval-Hernandez, “Enhancing Willingness to Communicate in English among Chinese Students in the UK: the Impact of MALL with Duolingo and HelloTalk,” *J. China Comput. Lang. Learn.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 42–73, 2024, doi: 10.1515/jccall-2023-0027.
- [20] S. Yusupalieva, “Developing Students Communicative Competence using Authentic Materials with Information Technologies,” *Int. J. Ind. Eng. Technol. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 59–62, 2024, doi: 10.62157/ijietom.v2i2.62.
- [21] N. Goyibova, N. Muslimov, G. Sabirova, N. Kadirova, and B. Samatova, “Differentiation Approach in Education: Tailoring Instruction for Diverse Learner Needs,” *MethodsX*, vol. 14, pp. 1–9, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.mex.2025.103163.
- [22] N. N. Khanh, T. T. N. Phuong, and T. T. T. Hoai, “Vocabulary Acquisition based on Personal Learning Pace,” *CTE Conf. Proc.*, vol. 5, pp. 180–201, 2024, doi: 10.54855/ictep.24514.
- [23] M. L. Bernacki, N. G. Lobczowski, and M. J. Greene, “A Systematic Review of Research on Personalized Learning: Personalized by Whom, to What, How, and for What Purpose (s)?,” *Educ. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1675–1715, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10648-021-09615-8.
- [24] D. Z. Obidovna, “Adapting Teaching Methods to Modern Educational Trends: Pedagogical Aspect,” *Int. J. Pedagog.*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 72–77, 2023, doi: 10.37547/ijp/Volume03Issue10-14.
- [25] J. Li and X. (Andy) Gao, “Novice and Experienced EFL Teachers’ Experiences of Designing Materials: A Comparative Case Study,” *Porta Linguarum*, no. 43, pp. 167–182, 2025, doi: 10.30827/portalin.vi43.29379.
- [26] M. E. Ahmed and U. Saadat, “Cultural Competence and Technology in ESL Education: A Case Study of Dubai’s Private Schools in the Digital Age,” *Int. J. Emerg. Issues Soc. Sci. Arts, Humanit.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 71–81, 2025, doi: 10.60072/ijeissah.2025.v3i02.007.
- [27] A. V. Akhmedova, “Digital Strategies to Enhance the Effectiveness of Learning Arabic in a Non-Native Environment,” *Академічні візії*, no. 44, pp. 1–10, 2025, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.15616356.
- [28] M. A. Anlimachie, M. K. Abreh, D. Acheampong, Yaw, B. Samuel, and D. Alluake, Stephen Newman, “Enacting Culturally Responsive Pedagogy for Rural Schooling in Ghana: A School-Community-Based Enquiry,” *Pedagog. Cult. Soc.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 141–159, 2025, doi: 10.1080/14681366.2023.2205861.
- [29] A. Piirimees, M. Boltovsky, and J. Rozsypalkova, “Teachers’ Understanding of Contemporary Approaches to Teaching and Learning,” in *ICEEPSY 2020: Education and Educational Psychology*, European Publisher (EP), 2020, pp. 229–239. doi: 10.15405/epicepsy.20111.21.
- [30] J. Hays and H. Reinders, “Sustainable Learning and Education: A Curriculum for the

- Future,” *Int. Rev. Educ.*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 29–52, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11159-020-09820-7.
- [31] K. Alhazmi, “The Effect of Multimedia on Vocabulary Learning and Retention,” *World J. English Lang.*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 390–399, 2024, doi: 10.5430/wjel.v14n6p390.
- [32] M. Zelina, “Interviews with Teachers about Inclusive Education,” *Acta Educ. Gen.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 95–111, 2020, doi: 10.2478/atd-2020-0012.
- [33] G. Aichholzer and G. Rose, “Experience with Digital Tools in Different Types of E-Participation,” in *European E-Democracy in Practice*, L. H. Nielsen, I. van Keulen, I. Korthagen, G. Aichholzer, R. Lindner, and R. Øjvind, Eds., Springer Open, 2020, ch. The State, pp. 93–140. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-27184-8.
- [34] Q. Yi, Z. Dong, and H. Qiao, “Enhancing EFL Listening and Speaking Skills: Strategies and Practice for Implementing Multimedia and Multi-modal Approaches,” *J. Humanit. Arts Soc. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 2211–2217, 2024, doi: 10.26855/jhass.2024.09.032.
- [35] F. El Zahraa, “Leveraging Artificial Intelligence and Digital Technologies to Enhance Sociolinguistic Competence and Arabic Language Skills,” *Int. Conf. Relig. Educ. Cross-Cultural Underst.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 33–49, 2025, [Online]. Available: <https://prosiding.aripafi.or.id/index.php/ICRECCU/article/view/22/35>
- [36] D. A. Mahdi, “Improving Speaking and Presentation Skills through Interactive Multimedia Environment for Non-Native Speakers of English,” *Sage Open*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2022, doi: 10.1177/21582440221079811.
- [37] I. Agustina, N. Nasrudin, S. Putra, A. Akrim, and D. Maharani, “The Effect of Moodle Implementation in English for Multimedia Classroom on Students’ Achievement in Reading and Writing,” in *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Multidisciplinary and Its Applications*, EAI - European Alliance for Innovation, 2020. doi: [dx.doi.org/10.4108/eai.11-12-2019.2290814](https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.11-12-2019.2290814).
- [38] M. Satori, “The Role of Morphological Knowledge and Vocabulary Knowledge in L2 Reading Comprehension,” *Read. a Foreign Lang.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2025, doi: 10.64152/10125/67482.
- [39] J. Hu and W. Xiao, “What are the Influencing Factors of Online Learning Engagement? A Systematic Literature Review,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 16, pp. 1–14, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1542652.
- [40] F. El Zahraa, “Sociolinguistic Competence and Sociolinguistic Appropriateness in the Context of Arabic Language Based on the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages Scale,” *Al-Ittihad J. Keilmuan dan Kependidikan Bhs. Arab*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 1–24, 2024, doi: 10.32678/alittihad.v16i2.10882.
- [41] S. Abu-Rabia, “Syntax Functions and Reading Comprehension in Arabic Orthography,” *Read. Psychol.*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 700–729, 2021, doi: 10.1080/02702711.2021.1912866.
- [42] K. Wahyuni and M. M. Assapari, “Contrastive Analysis of Phonology (Sounds, Speech, Stress, and Intonation) of Arabic and Indonesian Languages,” *al-Afkar, J. Islam. Stud.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 645–663, 2024, doi: 10.23971/altarib.v12i2.9170.
- [43] A. Mamoori, M. Mohd, A. H. Tarish, and S. Al Hasani, “Difficulties of Translation and Evaluative Idioms in English and Arabic,” *Int. J. Health Sci. (Qassim)*, vol. 5, 2022, doi: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS5.10039.
- [44] Y. Zou, F. Kuek, W. Feng, and X. Cheng, “Digital Learning in the 21st Century: Trends, Challenges, and Innovations in Technology Integration,” *Front. Educ.*, vol. 10, 2025, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2025.1562391.

- [45] K. I. Vorobyeva, S. Belous, N. V. Savchenko, L. M. Smirnova, S. A. Nikitina, and S. P. Zhdanov, "Personalized Learning through AI: Pedagogical Approaches and Critical Insights," *Contemp. Educ. Technol.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 1–23, 2025.
- [46] X. Uribe-Zarain, A. B. Hellman, and A. B. Bell, "Transforming Teacher Attitudes and Practices: The Efficacy of Sustained Professional Development in Culturally Responsive Education," *TESOL J.*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1002/tesj.896.
- [47] A. M. J. Al-Hamed, "The Effectiveness of Incorporating Video Conversations in an EFL University Classroom for Promoting Oral Communication Skills," *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 195–231, 2025, doi: 10.59992/IJSR.2025.v4n11p8.
- [48] E. Staneviciene and G. Žekienė, "The Use of Multimedia in the Teaching and Learning Process of Higher Education: A Systematic Review," *Sustainability*, vol. 17, no. 19, pp. 1–26, 2025, doi: 10.3390/su17198859.
- [49] M. J. Enayat, N. A. Ghadim, and A. Arabmofrad, "Effects of Two Mobile-Assisted Language Learning Apps on L2 Receptive and Productive Vocabulary Knowledge: A Mixed-Methods Study," *System*, vol. 133, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.system.2025.103763.
- [50] E. C. Saputra, F. Nugrahani, and N. Nurnaningsih, "Differentiated Learning Strategies: Creating an Adaptive and Inclusive Indonesian Classroom," *J. Onoma Pendidikan, Bahasa, dan Sastra*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 4204–4220, 2025, doi: 10.30605/onoma.v11i4.6975.
- [51] I. Puspitaningsih, S. M. Jasmine, F. Fuadiyah, A. Suhartono, A. C. Kimberly, and U. Rosalina, "Tracing The Shifting Goals and Curriculum Trends in English Language Teaching (2015-2025): A Systematic Literature Review and Bibliometric Analysis," *Sinergi Int. J. Educ.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 161–178, 2025, doi: 10.61194/education.v3i3.821.
- [52] M. A. Tabari, A. Kushki, and Y. Wang, "Comparing the Effects of Teacher- and AI-Mediated Corrective Feedback on Accuracy, Complexity, and Quality in L2 Written Narratives," *Comput. Assist. Lang. Learn.*, pp. 1–24, 2025, doi: 10.1080/09588221.2025.2561608.
- [53] F. E. Ellassali, "Mukawwin ad-Dars al-Lugawi bi al-Mustawā as-Šānawī al-I'dādī bi al-Magrib min Istirāṭijyyah at-Tadrīs ilā Asālīb at-Taqwīm," *Lisania J. Arab. Educ. Lit.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 83–104, 2024, doi: 10.18326/lisania.v8i1.83-104.
- [54] M. C. Walter and T. S. Wynard, "Preparing Educators to Support SEL: The Foundational Role of Trauma-Informed Training," *Soc. Emot. Learn. Res. Pract. Policy*, vol. 5, no. 1–9, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.sel.2024.100071.
- [55] R. Riwayatiningsih, E. F. Prastikawati, M. Muchson, F. N. Haqiqi, S. Setyowati, and D. A. Kartiko, "Empowering Higher-Order Thinking Skills in Writing through Gamification and Multimodal Learning within PBL," *Forum Linguist. Stud.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 385–398, 2025, doi: 10.30564/fls.v7i2.8119.
- [56] B. P. S. Trujillo, D. Velarde-Camaqui, C. A. G. Nuñez, E. V. C. Silva, and M. del P. G. S. de la Oliva, "The Current Landscape of Formative Assessment and Feedback in Graduate Studies: A Systematic Literature Review," *Front. Educ.*, vol. 10, 2025, doi: 10.3389/educ.2025.1509983.
- [57] N. Tzenios, "Learner-Centered Teaching," *Int. Res. J. Mod. Eng. Technol. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 916–919, 2022, doi: 10.56726/IRJMETS32262.
- [58] J. T. Shaw, "Culturally Responsive, Relevant, and Sustaining Pedagogies," *Choral J.*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 51–60, 2022, [Online]. Available: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27190641>